IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 8966 of 2000

Between:

Dinesh Mohanlal Pardhanani S/o. Late Mohanlal Pardhanani
15-9-619, Siddamber Bazar,Hyderabad.

..... Petitioner

AND

1.Transmission Corporation of A.P. LTD., rep. by its Secretary.
Vidyuth Soudha, Hyderabad.
2 .The Asst. Accounts Officer, Transmission Corporation of A.P.Ltd.
Troop Bazar, Hyderabad.

..... Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an order, direction or writ particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring that the action of the Respondent in disconnecting the power supply to Service No. K1-01511-150904-0098 at premises bearing No. 15-9-619, Siddamber Bazar, Hyderabad without notice is illegal, arbitrary and contrary to principles of natural justice, and, consequently direct the Respondents to restore the power supply to the premises.

Counsel for the Petitioner:PARTY-IN-PERSON

Counsel for the Respondents.: Mr.M.Sreeramulu Reddy, SC for AP TRANSCO

The Court made the following ORDER:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO. 8966 OF 2000

ORDER:

This Writ Petition is filed questioning the action of the respondents in disconnecting the power supply to the shop of the petitioner, which is being run in the name and style of 'Hyderabad Automobile', situated in Premises No. 15-9-619, Siddamber Bazar, Hyderabad.

It is the case of the petitioner that there are no arrears of electricity consumption charges, and, in spite of the same, without issuing notice and opportunity, the respondents have disconnected the power supply.

This Court by order dated 23-5-2000 directed the respondents to restore the power supply subject to condition of payment of usual charges.

Though Writ Petition is of the year 2000, no counter affidavit is filed.

This Court has granted interim order on 23-5-2000 and the said order is continued all along. Further, the allegation of the petitioner is that there are no arrears on account of consumption charges. In that view of the matter, I dispose of the Writ Petition directing the respondents to continue power supply to the premises of the petitioner. However, it is made clear that if there are any arrears, it is open for the respondents to issue demand notice and take steps accordingly.

Subject to the above direction, the Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY

DATED: 21st June, 2004.

Msnr.

..... REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Secretary, Transmission Corporation of A.P. LTD.,
   Vidyuth Soudha, Hyderabad.
2 .The Asst. Accounts Officer, Transmission Corporation of A.P.Ltd.
   Troop Bazar, Hyderabad.

3) 2 CD copies